

Extract from Area Planning Subcommittee West Agenda – 24 November 2010

APPLICATION No:	EPF/1898/10
SITE ADDRESS:	Brambles Epping Road Broadley Common Essex EN9 2DH
PARISH:	Roydon
WARD:	Broadley Common, Epping Upland and Nazeing
APPLICANT:	Mr Philip Mitchell
DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSAL:	Proposed extension to north of existing property and in-fill extension to south of existing property. (Revised application)
RECOMMENDED DECISION:	Refuse Permission (Householder)

Click on the link below to view related plans and documents for this case:

http://planpub.eppingforestdc.gov.uk/AniteIM.websearch/ExternalEntryPoint.aspx?SEARCH_TYPE=1&DOC_CLASS_CODE=PL&FOLDER1_REF=521422

REASON FOR REFUSAL

- 1 The site is located within the Metropolitan Green Belt. The proposed development is at odds with Government advice and policy GB2A of the Adopted Local Plan and Alterations, in that it does not constitute a limited extension to an existing dwelling. This proposal is inappropriate development which is by definition harmful. The proposed extension, combined with the previous additions, represents disproportionate additions over and above the original dwelling. Furthermore, no very special circumstances have been submitted that would outweigh the harm to the Metropolitan Green Belt.

This application is before this Committee since it has been 'called in' by Councillor Penny Smith (Pursuant to Section P4, Schedule A (h) of the Council's Delegated Functions).

Description of Proposal:

Proposed extension to north of existing property and in-fill extension to south of existing property (revised application).

Description of Site:

Brambles is a detached bungalow with rooms within the roof space located within a large plot. The property is within the Metropolitan Green Belt and the Nazeing and South Roydon Conservation Area. The property is within the small built up enclave of Broadley Common and sits back from the main road by approximately 75m, located behind the neighbouring property Gransden. The property was originally built as an agricultural workers dwelling and has had several extensions in the past.

Relevant History:

EPF/0080/10 – Bungalow – App/Con

EPF/0459/76 – Erection of ground floor extension and alterations and the construction of dormer windows – App/Con

EPF/ 0635/03 – Certificate of lawfulness for existing use of occupation of dwelling without compliance with agricultural occupancy condition – Lawful

EPF/0662/10 – Proposed extension to north of existing property and in-fill extension to south of existing property – Withdrawn

EPF/0663/10 – Conservation area consent for the demolition and removal of loft conversion and associated dormer windows, carport, sauna, utility room, greenhouses and outdoor swimming pool and raised surround – Withdrawn

EPF/1904/10 – Conservation area consent for the demolition and removal of loft conversion and associated dormer windows, carport, sauna, utility room, greenhouses and outdoor swimming pool and raised surround (revised application) – Concurrent Application

Policies Applied:

Epping Forest District Local Plan and Alterations

CP2 – Protecting the Quality of the Rural and Built Environment

GB2A – Development within the Green Belt

DBE9 – Impact on Amenity

DBE10 – Extensions to Dwellings

DBE4 – Design within the Green Belt

LL10 – Retention of Landscaping

HC6 – Character, Appearance and Setting of Conservation Areas

HC7 – Development within Conservation Areas

SUMMARY OF REPRESENTATIONS:

ROYDON PARISH COUNCIL: No objection

NEIGHBOURS

9 properties were consulted and a site notice erected

No responses received

Issues and Considerations:

The main issues that arise with this application are considered to be the following:

- Impact on the Metropolitan Green Belt
- Amenity of Neighbouring Properties
- Design and Conservation Issues
- Retention of Landscaping

Impact on the Metropolitan Green Belt

Policy GB2A states that 'limited extensions' within the green belt may be appropriate. This property has been extended in the past and the current proposal seeks to remove some of these extensions whilst adding further extensions and increasing the roof height over part of the existing building.

The proposal, along with earlier additions to the property adds approximately 230m² of additional floorspace above the original dwelling as built in the 1950's, this figure has included the removal of some of the existing extensions as proposed. This figure results in a percentage increase of approximately 187%. The application site is relatively well screened from view by the neighbouring property, Gransden, however the increased height of the carport area will become more clearly visible. This increase in floor area and built form is not considered acceptable in line with policy GB2A as it cannot be classed as a limited extension.

This proposal is also accompanied by a Conservation Area Consent application for the demolition of an existing glasshouse, along with existing swimming pool and hardstanding. The glasshouse has a floor area of approximately 90m² and the removal of this is considered an improvement to the open character of the green belt and wider area. Glasshouses are however not considered permanent and substantial buildings and clearly are generally appropriate development in the Green Belt, unlike residential development. The pool area is effectively an area of hardstanding albeit raised and the pool wall is something that can be built under permitted development and therefore although the removal of the greenhouse is an improvement the overall removal of these areas is not considered to carry sufficient weight to outweigh the harm caused to the Metropolitan Green Belt in respect of the large amount of additions to the main house.

The application was accompanied by a design and access statement and as part of this statement the agent has suggested that the applicant would accept the removal of permitted development rights should this application be granted. However, this is not considered an acceptable compromise given the amount of existing and proposed extensions to the main dwelling. In any event it is considered that the removal of the existing garage and carport (although there is plenty of off-road space for the parking of cars) may result in further pressure on the green belt if the current or future occupiers of the site require garaging.

The design and access statement also draws attention to an application that was approved in 2010 for two new properties at Silcocks Farm, as justification for allowing this current application. This application was considered acceptable based on its special circumstances relating to the history of the site. Two new residential dwellings at Silcocks Farm were originally given approval in the 1980's and planning permission was continually renewed. Because of this history it was not considered reasonable for the Council to refuse permission after 25 years of considering the proposal acceptable. The proposal at Silcocks Farm is contrary to existing green belt policy, however the history of the site proved to be considered special circumstance to overcome this. The special circumstances at Silcocks Farm are unique to this site and not transferable to this case, nor has it considered to have set a precedent for any inappropriate green belt development in the locality.

Amenity of Neighbouring Properties

The nearest neighbour to the application site is Gransden located to the north of the site with some 20m between the proposal and the shared boundary. Although the roof height will be greater, due to the existing planting at the shared boundary it is not considered that the proposal will result in any detrimental loss of amenity to this property.

Design and the Conservation Area

This proposal is for a large extension which includes incorporating the existing link and bedrooms into the main part of the house with an enlarged roof. The proposals are considered to consolidate the appearance of the house by removing the appearance of so many additions. This is a relatively modern house within the Conservation Area and the Conservation Officer has no objection to the proposals as they are not considered detrimental to the appearance of the Conservation Area.

Retention of Landscaping

The proposal does not include the removal of any trees on site. However, the application site is within the Conservation Area where all trees are afforded a level of protection. The Tree and Landscape Officer has no objection to the proposal subject to a condition ensuring protection of the trees at the rear of Gransden which currently provide a relatively effective level of screening.

Conclusion:

The proposal is considered to be acceptable with regards to design, neighbouring amenity, landscaping and impact on the Conservation Area, however the size of the proposals coupled with the existing extensions are considered inappropriate development by definition harmful to the openness of the green belt in this location. In the absence of very special circumstances sufficient to outweigh this harm it is recommended that planning permission is refused.

Should you wish to discuss the contents of this report item please use the following contact details by 2pm on the day of the meeting at the latest:


***Planning Application Case Officer: Marie-Claire Tovey
Direct Line Telephone Number: 01992 564371***

or if no direct contact can be made please email: contactplanning@eppingforestdc.gov.uk



Epping Forest District Council

Area Planning Sub-Committee West



The material contained in this plot has been reproduced from an Ordnance Survey map with the permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery. (c) Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings.

EFDC licence No.100018534

Agenda Item Number:	9
Application Number:	EPF/1898/10 and EPF/1904/10
Site Name:	Brambles, Epping Road Broadley Common, EN9 2DH
Scale of Plot:	1/1250